

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/829,597	TANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(2) Jayanthi Minisandram, Reg. No. 53,330.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) AIPenilla, Reg. No. 39,487.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2006

**Time:** 2PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

49 & 60

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested Examiner's Amendment to clarify independent claims. Claims are related to an executing program & multiple display windows. User input displays updated results. A "most related" list of users and list of objects are dynamically selected for display as the program executes. Applicant agreed to amend claims 49 and 60..